

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,576	07/21/2004	Pramod Jain		4575
36987 7590 07/17/2007 PRAMOD JAIN 9000 CYPRESS GREEN DRIVE, 108			EXAMINER	
			FRANCIS, MARK P	
JACKSONVILLE, FL 32256			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,576	JAIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark P. Francis	2193
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become a	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ The substitution of t	nis action is non-final.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	· ·
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withden 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2005 is/are: 4	rawn from consideration. /or election requirement.	ected to by the Examiner
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the application filed on July 21, 2004.
- 2. Claims 1-6 have been examined.

Oath/Declaration

3. The Office acknowledges receipt of a properly signed oath/declaration filed July 21, 2004.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 1-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 1-6 do not end with a Period. All claims in the application should end with "." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Regarding claim 1,

In this instance, the language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not tied to an environment or machine which would result in a practical application that would produce a useful, concrete, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101.

According to the 101 Interim Guidelines, The tangible requirement does not necessarily mean that a claim must either be tied to a particular machine or apparatus or must operate to change articles or materials to a different state or thing. However, the tangible requirement does require that the claim must recite more than a § 101 judicial exception, in that the process claim must set forth a practical application of that § 101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result. Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77 (invention ineligible because had "no substantial practical application."). "[An application of a law of nature or mathematical formula to a ... process may well be deserving of patent protection." Diehr, 450 U.S. at 187, 209 USPQ at 8 (emphasis added); see also 21 Corning, 56 U.S. (15 How.) at 268, 14 L.Ed. 683 ("It is for the discovery or invention of some practical method or means of producing a beneficial result or effect, that a patent is granted . . . "). In other words, the opposite meaning of "tangible" is "abstract."

Applicant just mentions a method of developing web application, which can be deployed as JSP 168 compliant as well as a standalone web application without defining any steps taken or needed to develop or build the corresponding web application, thus the claim is merely an abstract idea. Therefore, the claim as a whole does not result in a tangible practical application.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,576 Page 4

Art Unit: 2193

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

- 8. A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sundaresan. (U.S. PGPUB 2005/0086664)

Art Unit: 2193

Independent claims

With respect to claim 1, Sundaresan discloses a method of developing a web application, (See Abstract, "...creating applications, such as web applications...") which can be deployed as is in a JSP168 compliant portal framework (Col 1:0015, "...JSPs provide dynamic scripting...") as well as deployed as a standalone web application (Col 3:0027-0028, "...types of logic, may be standalone or integrated...")

Dependent claims

With respect to claim 2, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Sundaresan discloses that the server side pages contain data access logic with conditional check that gets data from the portal server or standalone server. (Col 2:0019-0020, "...The control and flow logic...", Col 3:0023, "...various flow and control logic...")

With respect to claim 3, the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further, Sundaresan discloses that in presentation logic in the server side pages is common (Col 0018-0019, "...the presentation logic...", e.g. See Fig. 1, element 16 and related text)

With respect to claim 4, the rejection of claim 2 is incorporated and further, Sundaresan discloses that the submit logic in the server side pages contains a conditional check that chooses portal server or standalone server to submit (Col 3:0028-0031, "...identifies the appropriate portal specific...The layout manager enables a portal to separate...")

Art Unit: 2193

With respect to claim 5, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Sundaresan discloses that in a single broker class handles all the backend processing for both portal server and standalone (Col 3:0023-0025, "...action classes...action class...")

With respect to claim 6, the rejection of claim 1 is incorporated and further, Sundaresan discloses that in a single action class connects the portlet container to the broker class in the case of portal application(Col 3:0025, "... The action class then creates or interacts with the model object...")

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark P. Francis whose telephone number is (571) 272-7956. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571) 272-3719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Art Unit: 2193

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Mark P. Francis

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2193

THOMAS LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100